

93

MF ISSUE FEE
PATENT
3430-0122P



IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: Se-Chang WON CONF.: 5176
APPLN. NO.: 09/481,091 ✓ GROUP: 2871
FILED: January 11, 2000 EXAMINER: Akkapeddi, P.
FOR: MOUNTING BRACKET USED FOR ASSEMBLING
LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY MODULE WITH MONITOR
CASE

Comments on Reasons for Allowance

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

February 4, 2004

Sir:

The Examiner stated a reason for allowance as a mounting bracket having vertical, first horizontal and second horizontal portions, these portions being disposed in a certain relationship between the LCD panel and the frames and also having an LCD bracket internal to the first and second frames. The Examiner states that Applicant has pointed out that the prior art does not disclose such a bracket.

Applicant disagrees with the Examiner's statement. Applicant did point out the arguments noted by the Examiner, in regard to claim 6 and the claims that depend therefrom. However, claims 1, 12 and 16 are all independent claims in the present application and do not include the same limitations. Instead, these claims are allowable for other reasons. In regard to claim 1, Applicant has noted that the arrangement of the bracket in terms of the display panel and frames is different than that of the references. Also, in regard to the double-patenting rejection, Applicant noted that claim 1 differs from U.S. Patent No. 6330148 in

that the fastening unit has a main portion and a bent portion where the bent portion is located between the side surface of the display panel and the upper supporting frame.


In regard to claims 12-16, Applicant likewise submits that these claims do not describe the mounting bracket with two horizontal portions and a vertical portion. Instead, these claims describe the bracket as having vertical and horizontal coupling portions and further include other limitations. Accordingly, Applicant submits that these claims do not include the first and second horizontal portions as suggested by the Examiner.

The Examiner is requested to reconsider his Statement of the Reasons for Allowance.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fee required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By 
Joseph A. Kolasch
Reg. No. 22,463

JAK/RFG/gf
(703) 205-8000

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-07047

3430-0122P